

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Pearson
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1286768
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Pearson
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1286768
Title	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Sorry, the information I have does not make this clear - and I have a computer
Family Name	Pearson
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1286768
Title	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	As above, the information I have is unclear.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Family Name	Pearson
Given Name	Robert
Person ID	1286768
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Apart from the fact that the information that is undoubtedly available this is not presented to the majority of those affected in a reasonable way. My major concern is road links to the land for sale (greenbelt) both on the Bury and Rochdale sides of Heywood Old Road are not mentioned and insufficient. HOR is very busy and not designed for the amount of traffic that would use it. There are already problems from traffic from developments on Langley Lane, and there will be more as a result of building of the new school on HOR and the building of the link road for the M62. Parts of the road where it goes through Bowlee are very narrow.</p> <p>When the M62 was inaccessible the noise and pollution were intolerable.</p> <p>There has been no check (that I am aware of) on pollution levels. Part of HOR is surrounded by properties higher than the road itself. Added to all of this is loss of the green belt together with the animals and birds, badgers, rabbits, hares, lapwings, buzzards etc. So much for a green and pleasant land.</p> <p>Why not use Brown land of which, I am told, Bury has a plethora. There are many reasons to dismiss the plans but road transport has got to be a major reason. Yours faithfully Bob Pearson</p>